



SOME RESULTS ON THE GOINT NUMERICAL RANGE OF AN N-TUPLE OF OPERATORS ON A SEMI-INNER PRODUCT SPACE

by

Galeb Shkheier A. Agool

بعض النتائج في المدى العددي المشترك
لهتجه (n-tuple) من المؤثرات على
فضاء شبه الجداء الداخلي

أ. غالب شخير عبد عاكول

المعهد العالي لإعداد المعلمين بزلتين

من الحقائق المعروفة في هذا الموضوع هي المبرهنة المتعلقة بالقيم الذاتية
(eigen values) للمؤثر T (حيث $T \in B(H)$ ، H هو فضاء هلبرت)، والتي
تنص على أن :

مجموعة القيم الذاتية λ للمؤثر T هي مجموعة جزئية من المدى العددي $W(T)$ للمؤثر T ، وان العكس يكون صحيحا بشرط إن $11 \leq |\lambda|$.

إن بعض النتائج التي حصلنا عليها في هذا البحث هي:

☒ تعميم المبرهنة أعلاه للحالة العامة n -tuple وليس فقط لفضاء هلبرت فحسب بل لجميع الفضاءات ذات شبه الجداء الداخلي ، مع شرط ضروري للعكس .

☒ الطيف النقطوي التقريبي $\pi(T)$ للمؤثر T يكون مجموعة جزئية من الانغلاق $\overline{W(T)}$ للمدى العددي .

☒ تعميم المبرهنة المعروفة والتي تنص على أن $\sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{W(T)}$ $\forall T \in B(H)$ للحالة العامة n -tuple بالنسبة لفضاء هلبرت .

☒ تعميم المبرهنة أعلاه في حالة الفضاء شبه الجداء الداخلي .

☒ تعميم المبرهنة (Lumer) والتي تنص على أن :

$\{11 \leq |\lambda| \} \cap \overline{W(T)} \subseteq \pi(T), \quad \forall T \in B(H)$
 للحالة العامة n -tuple وبعض النتائج الأخرى .

Abstract

P.R. Halmos [3] has proved that . for any bounded operator T on a Hilbert space H ,every eigen value λ of T is in the numerical range $W(T)$, and the converse is true if

$$\|\lambda I - T\| = \|T\|.$$

In this paper we generalize the above result to the case of n -tuples of the operators on any normed linear space

(semi-inner product space) with some necessary condition for the converse . Further , we improve a theorem of Lumer [5] which asserts that : the approximate point spectrum $\Pi(T)$ of $T \in \mathbf{B}(X)$, where X is any normed space is contained in

$\overline{W(T)}$ where dash denotes closure. We find a necessary

condition to have $\sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{W(T)}$,and we discuss theorem of Lumer , for normal operators. From our improvement , we will deduce the well-known theorem for $T \in \mathbf{B}(H)$, that

$$\sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{W(T)} \text{ (since } W(T) \text{ is already convex [5].)}$$

Also we generalize the above theorem [5] to the case of n -tuples of operator on X

Finally , we generalize the theorem [5] , which says that : $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \|\lambda I - T\| = \|T\| \cap \overline{W(T)} \subseteq \Pi(T)$, $T \in \mathbf{B}(X)$, when sphere of X is uniformly convex , to the case of n -tuples of operators on X .

Notations

In what follows , H denote a Hilbert space , and T any operator on H (bounded linear transformation $T:H \rightarrow H$).

The numerical range $W(T)$ of $T \in \mathbf{B}(H)$ is defined as :

$$W(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1, x \in H \}$$

Which it is convex due to Toeplitz-Hausdorff theorem [2] , we also have $\sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{W(T)}$, where $\sigma(T)$ denotes spectrum of T .

The notation $\Pi_o(T)$ denotes the point spectrum of T , which consists of the set point spectrum of T , which defined as :

$\Pi(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \exists \text{ a seq } \{x_n\} \text{ of unit vectors in } H \text{ such that :}$
 $\text{ii} (T - \lambda I) \|x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$ = set of approximate eigen
 values of T .

In 1960, G. Lumer [5] has defined the semi-inner product (s.i.p) on X^*X , when X is any complex (real) vector space, as a complex (real) valued function

$[\cdot, \cdot] : X^*X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ (or } \mathbb{R}) \text{ satisfying :}$

$$[x+y, z] = [x, z] + [y, z], \quad \forall x, y, z \in X$$

$$[\alpha x, y] = \alpha [x, y], \quad \forall \alpha \text{ scalar}, x, y \in X$$

$$2- \text{ if } x \neq 0, \text{ then } [x, x] > 0$$

$$3- [x, y]^2 \leq [x, x] \cdot [y, y]$$

Lumer [5] has shown that $[x, x] = \|x\|^2$ defined a norm on X , and if H is a Hilbert space then :

$$[x, y] = \langle x, y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H$$

i.e. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the unique s.i.p. defined on H .

Further, it is proved [5] that every normed linear space can be made into a s. i. p. in infinity many ways.

For $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, where X is a s.i.p. the numerical range $W(T)$ of T is defined by : $W(T) = \{[Tx, x] : \|x\|=1, x \in X\}$ Which isn't always convex and is not connected [5].

For an n -tuple $A = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ of operators on H , the joint numerical range $W(A)$ is defined by :

$$W(A) = \{(\langle A_1 x, x \rangle, \langle A_2 x, x \rangle, \dots, \langle A_n x, x \rangle) : \|x\|=1, x \in X\}$$

which isn't always convex [4] and [1] by taking the counter example that $H = \mathbb{C}^2$ and that

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = (A_1, A_2) \text{ then}$$

$$(0, 0), (1, 0) \in W(A), \text{ but } (1/2, 0) \notin W(A)$$

For an n -tuple $A=(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ of operators on H , a point $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is called a joint eigen value $(\lambda \in \Pi(A))$

if $\exists$ a unit vector x in H such that :

$$A_i(x) = \lambda_i(x), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

A point $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is called a joint approximate eigen value $(\lambda \in \Pi(A))$ if $\exists$ a seq (x_k) of unit vectors in H such that :

$$\| (A_i - \lambda_i I) x_k \| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for } i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The joint numerical range $W(A)$ of $A=(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ of operators on X is defined as :

$$W(A) = \{ ([A_1 x, x], [A_2 x, x], \dots, [A_n x, x]); \|x\|=1, x \in X \}$$

Now we will give our main results obtained in this paper in three sections, section 1 gives a generalization for theorem of Halmos concerning eigen values of T on H . In section 2 we improve a theorem Lumer [5] with an application for normal operators on X . Also we generalize the above theorem to the case of n -tuples of operators on X . In section 3 we generalize another theorem [5].

Main Results

Section 1:

P.R. Halmos [2] has proved the following theorem, for the case of Hilbert spaces.

Theorem A [2]

for any $T \in B(H)$, we have

$$1) \Pi_o(T) \subseteq W(T).$$

$$2) \text{ if } \lambda \in W(T) \text{ with } \|\lambda I - T\| = 0 \text{ then } \lambda \in \Pi_o(T).$$

We have proved the analogous case for part (1) of the above theorem for any n -tuple of operators on a s.i.p.s. X . Also we prove the analogous case for part (2) of the above theorem for n -tuples under the necessary condition that : when Schwartz

inequality become an equation , the vectors will be linearly dependent .

Theorem 1 :

Let X be a s.i.p.s , & let $A=(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ be an n -tuple of operator on X then :

1).. $\Pi_o(A) \subseteq W(A)$.

2).. $\text{if } \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \text{ \& } \cap_{i=1}^n A_i \cap$, with the property that

$I[x, y]I = \cap_{i=1}^n \cap_{j=1}^n \cap_{k=1}^n \rightarrow x \& y$ are linearly dependent . then:

$$\lambda \in \Pi_o(A).$$

Proof

suppose that $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a joint eigen value of A .

Hence $\exists x$ in sphere of X , such that :

$$A_i(x) = \lambda_i(x). \text{ for } i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

Now ,for each $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ we have

$$\lambda_i = \lambda_i \cap x \cap^2 = \lambda_i \cdot [x, x] = [\lambda_i \cdot x, x] = [A_i(x), x]$$

i.e $\lambda \in W(A)$. and therefore $\Pi_o(A) \subseteq W(A)$

(2)suppose that $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in W(A)$ such that $\cap_{i=1}^n A_i \cap$ and X has the property that :

$I[x, y]I = \cap_{i=1}^n \cap_{j=1}^n \cap_{k=1}^n \rightarrow x \& y$ are linearly dependent .

Now , since $x \in W(A)$, $\exists$ a unit vector x in X such that :

$$\lambda_i = [A_i x, x]. \text{ } i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\cap_{i=1}^n A_i \cap I = I \lambda_i I = I[A_i x, x]I \leq \cap_{i=1}^n A_i(x) \cap \cap_{j=1}^n \cap_{k=1}^n \leq \cap_{i=1}^n A_i \cap \cap_{j=1}^n \cap_{k=1}^n = \cap_{i=1}^n A_i \cap$$

$$I[A_i(x), x] = \cap_{i=1}^n A_i(x) \cap \cap_{j=1}^n \cap_{k=1}^n$$

therefore $A_i(x)$ and x are linearly dependent.

i.e $A_i(x) = \beta_i(x)$. for some scalar $\beta_i : i=1, 2, \dots, n$

Now ,we have :

$$B_i = \beta_i[x, x] = [\beta_i(x), x] = [A_i(x), x] = \lambda_i, \text{ } i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

Thus we conclude λ is a joint eigen value of the n -tuple A , which completes the proof of the theorem .

Section 2:

In this section , we will give theorem B of Lumer [5] . we will discuss this theorem , for normal operators and improve it by proving theorem 2 which says that the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ is contained in the convex hull $\text{conh } \overline{W(T)}$ of the closure of $W(T)$, while in theorem 3 we find a necessary condition for the implication that $\sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{W(T)}$. The improvement happens because $\Pi(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$ (see theorem 31.1 in [3]).

From theorem 3 . We conclude a well-known fact about the Hilbert space operators [2] that $\sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{W(T)}$, $T \in B(H)$ as an easy corollary by using the Teoplitz-Hausdorff theorem for the convexity of $W(T)$.

Theorem B: [5]

Let T be any bounded operator on s.i.p. X , then

$$\sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{W(T)}$$

In particular $\partial(\sigma(T)) \subseteq \overline{W(T)}$, where ∂ denotes boundary, and $\sigma(T)$ denotes spectrum.

Corollary

If T is a normal operator on a s.i.p.s X , then $\sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{W(T)}$

Proof

Suppose that T is a normal operator , according to theorem 31.1 [3], we have $\sigma(T) = \Pi(T)$

From theorem B , we get $\sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{W(T)}$

Theorem 2

Let T be any operator on a s.i.p. X , then :

$$\sigma(T) \subseteq \text{Conh}(\overline{W(T)}) \text{ where conh denotes the convex hull .}$$

Proof :

Suppose that $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ and suppose that the line L passing through λ intersect $\delta(\sigma(T))$ at λ_1 and λ_2 . Applying theorem 66.B[5] we get that λ_1 and λ_2 are in $\Pi(T)$

According to theorem B (above) we get that λ_1 and λ_2 are in $\overline{W(T)}$ and therefore in $\text{Conh } \overline{W(T)}$.

But $\lambda \in$ Line segment Joining λ_1 and λ_2 , with the fact that $\text{Conh } \overline{W(T)}$ is convex, we get : $\lambda \in \text{Conh } \overline{W(T)}$, which completes the proof.

Theorem 3 :

Let T be any operator on α s.i.p.s X ; if $\Pi(T)$ is convex then $\sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{W(T)}$.

Proof :

From theorem 3, we have : $\sigma(T) \subseteq \text{Conh}(\overline{W(T)}) \dots\dots(1)$

But $\overline{W(T)}$ is convex, & $\text{Conh } \overline{W(T)}$ is the smallest convex set containing $\overline{W(T)}$, therefore we will get

$$\overline{W(T)} = \text{Conh } \overline{W(T)} \dots\dots(2)$$

From (1)&(2) we get : $\sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{W(T)}$, and hence the theorem is proved.

Corollary :

Let T be any operator on H , then $\sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{W(T)}$

Proof :

By using Theorem 2 we get that $\sigma(T) \subseteq \text{Conh}(\overline{W(T)}) \dots\dots(1)$ Now according to Teopliz-

Hausdorff theorem we get that $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{T})$ is convex ,and it can be easily shown that $\overline{\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{T})}$ is also convex .

$$\text{i.e } \overline{\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{T})} = \text{Conh } \overline{\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{T})} \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

From (1)&(2)we get $\sigma(\mathbf{T}) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{T})}$ which completes the proof.

Theorem 4

Let X be a s.i.p.s. and $A=(A_1,A_2,...A_n)$ be an n -tuple of operator on X , then

$$\Pi(A) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{W}(A)}$$

Proof:

Suppose that $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,.. \lambda_n) \in \Pi(A)$ we get $\exists \text{aseq}\{X_K\}$ of unit vectors in x such that : $\| (A_i - \lambda_i I) X_K \| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $i=1,2,3,...n$.

$$\| [A_i(x_k), x_k] - \lambda_i I \| = \| [(A_i - \lambda_i I) X_K] \| \leq \| (A_i - \lambda_i I) X_K \| \| X_K \| = \| (A_i - \lambda_i I) X_K \| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\| [A_i(x_k), x_k] - \lambda_i I \| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \| ([A_1(x_k), x_k], [A_2(x_k), x_k], \dots [A_n(x_k), x_k]) - (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots \lambda_n) I \| = \\ & = \| ([A_1(x_k), x_k] - \lambda_1 I, [A_2(x_k), x_k] - \lambda_2 I, \dots, [A_n(x_k), x_k] - \lambda_n I) \| \\ & = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \| [A_i(x_k), x_k] - \lambda_i I \|^2 \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{But , } \| [A_i(x_k), x_k] - \lambda_i I \| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad i=1,2,..n$$

Concluding

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \| [A_i(x_k), x_k] - \lambda_i I \|^2 \right]^{1/2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

This means that ,as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\| ([A_1(x_k), x_k], [A_2(x_k), x_k], \dots [A_n(x_k), x_k]) - (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots \lambda_n) I \| \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{Thus } \{([A_1(x_k), x_k], [A_2(x_k), x_k], \dots, [A_n(x_k), x_k])\} \rightarrow \lambda \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\text{Thus } (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots \lambda_n) \in \overline{\mathbf{W}(A)}.$$

Section 3

In this section we will give below theorem C of Lumer [5].

We generalize this result to the case of n - tuples of operators on a semi-inner product space .

Theorem C[5]

Let X be a s.i.p.s such that the unit sphere in the induced norm is uniformly convex. Let T be an operator on X . Then

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = \|T\|\} \cap \overline{W(T)} \subseteq \partial(\sigma(T)) \subseteq \Pi(T)$$

Theorem 5

Let X be a s.i.p.s such that the unit sphere in the induced norm is uniformly convex. Let $A = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ be an n -tuple of operators on X , Then :

$$\{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|\lambda_i I - A_i\| = 1\} \cap \overline{W(A)} \subseteq \Pi(A)$$

Proof :

Suppose without loss of generality that :

$$\|A_i\| = 1, \text{ and } \lambda_i = 1$$

$$\text{i.e } \lambda = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$$

Since $\lambda \in W(A)$, $\exists$ a sequence $\{x_k\}$ of unit vector in X such that :

$$\{([A_1(x_k), x_k], [A_2(x_k), x_k], \dots, [A_n(x_k), x_k])\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\text{Now } ([\frac{X_k + A_1(X_k)}{2}, x_k], [\frac{X_k + A_2(X_k)}{2}, x_k], \dots, [$$

$$\frac{X_k + A_n(X_k)}{2}, x_k]) =$$

$$= 1/2[x_k, x_k], [x_k, x_k], \dots, [x_k, x_k]) + 1/2([A_1(x_k), x_k], \dots, [A_n(x_k), x_k])$$

$$= 1/2(1, 1, \dots, 1) + 1/2([A_1(x_k), x_k], [A_2(x_k), x_k], \dots, [A_n(x_k), x_k])$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{CONVERGES TO}} 1/2(1, 1, \dots, 1) + 1/2(1, 1, \dots, 1) = (1, 1, \dots, 1) = \lambda$$

Therefore we get

$$\{([\frac{x_k + A_1(x_k)}{2}, x_k], [\frac{x_k + A_2(x_k)}{2}, x_k], \dots, [$$

$$\frac{x_k + A_n(x_k)}{2}, x_k])\} \text{ converges to the point } \lambda = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$$

$$\text{i.e } I([\frac{x_k + A_1(x_k)}{2}, x_k], [\frac{x_k + A_2(x_k)}{2}, x_k], \dots, [$$

$$\frac{x_k + A_n(x_k)}{2}, x_k]) - (1, 1, \dots, 1)I \text{ converge to } 0,$$

i.e as

$k \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$0 \leq I\left[\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{x}_K)}{2}, \mathbf{x}_k\right] - 1 \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{x}_K)}{2}, \mathbf{x}_K\right] - 1 \Big)^{1/2}$$

Thus, for $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$I\left[\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{x}_K)}{2}, \mathbf{x}_k\right] - 1 \rightarrow 0$$

i.e as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\left[\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{x}_K)}{2}, \mathbf{x}_k\right] - 1 \rightarrow 0$

Therefore, for $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ we get

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{x}_K)}{2}, \mathbf{x}_k\right] \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

But

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{x}_K)}{2}, \mathbf{x}_k\right] \leq I\left[\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{x}_K)}{2}, \mathbf{x}_k\right] \leq II\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{x}_K)}{2} II.X$$

$k II$

$$\leq 1/2([II\mathbf{x}_K II + II\mathbf{A}_i II, II\mathbf{x}_K II).1) = 1/2(1+1) = 1$$

$$\text{i.e } \left[\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{x}_K)}{2}, \mathbf{x}_k\right] \leq II\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{x}_K)}{2} II \leq 1$$

$$\text{But, } \left[\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{x}_K)}{2}, \mathbf{x}_k\right] \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\text{Thus } II\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{x}_K)}{2} II \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

But the unit sphere of X is uniformly convex ,

$$II\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{x}_k) II \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\text{i.e } II(I - \mathbf{A}_i)\mathbf{x}_K II \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\text{i.e } (1, 1, \dots, 1) \text{ is in } \overline{\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{A})}$$

REFERENCES:

- 1: A.T. Dash – Joint numerical range . Glasnik Math. Tom: (27). No(1). 1962 , 75...81
- 2: P.R. Halmos .Hilbert space problem book .Van –Nostrand 1974

3: P. R.Halmos. Introduction to Hilbert space and the theory of spectral multiplicity . New York , 1963

4:P. Jungea . Contribution to the theory of several Hilbert space operators . Ph. D. thesis ,University of Delhi .1977

5:G .Lumer . Semi-inner product spaces . Transactions Amer.Math, Soc. 100, 1961. 29-43

6:G.F.Simmon , Introduction to Topology and Modern Analysis . New York, 1963 .